The question being on the adoption of the motion to lay on the table,

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

## AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hoffman,	Ridgely,
Goldsborough, P't	Hopkins,	Robinette,
Abbott,	Hopper,	Russell,
Annan,	Jones, of Cecil,	Sands,
Baker,	Keefer,	Schley,
Barron,	Kennard,	Scott,
Brooks,	King,	Smith, of Carroll,
Cunningham,	Larsh,	Smith, of Wor.,
Cushing,	Mace,	Sneary,
Daniel,	McComas,	Stirling,
Davis, of Wash.,	Mullikin,	Swope,
Dellinger,	Murray,	Sykes,
Earle,	Negley,	Thomas,
Ecker,	Noble,	Todd,
Farrow,	Nyman,	Valliant,
Galloway,	Parker,	Wickard,
Hatch,	Pugh,	Wooden—52.
Hebb,	Purnell,	

## NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Belt,	Dennis,	marbury,
Berry, of P. Geo.,	Duvall,	Mitchell,
Billingsley,	Edelen,	Miller,
Blackiston,	Gale,	Morgan,
Bond,	Harwood,	Parran,
Briscoe,	Henkle,	Peter,
Brown,	Hollyday,	Smith, of Dor.,
Chambers,	Horsey,	Stockbridge,
Clarke,	Jones, of Som.,	Turner,
Dail,	Lansdale,	Wilmer $-32$ .
Davis, of Charles,	Lee,	

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

Mr. Barron called the previous question,

The question being,

"Shall the main question be now put?"